Kia ora, all

I have some high level feedback on the dotnzreview. I am not using the submission template as it kept freezing on my screen - I hope others have not experienced the same issue

I support creating visionary and aspirational principles which endorse an open and free internet for all, and which do not include operational elements. I don't think the draft principles fully meet my requirements yet. They extend and explain clearly the vision for .nz BUT they do not set out adequately the public good importance of a technically robust infrastructure openly available for all . InternetNZ has fiercely worked for, endorsed and delivered this in NZ since its inception. While these draft principles deliberately scope and promote .nz to make it attractive to New Zealanders, I suggest that it is equally important that they are positioned globally and spell out technology principles.

I recommend that the verb 'should' in each principle statement is replaced by 'must'. 'Must' indicates a fundamental requirement whereas 'should' suggests only nice to have.

I recommend the retention of high level principles covering the current rule of law principle and the structural separation principle. They endorse and make clear InternetNZ's legal status and the fundamental importance of structurally separate functions in Internet NZ's core work.

I support active use of Te Reo in the .nz domain and a partnership with Maori to achieve this. Then move to represent NZ's full ethnic mix.

I support a local presence requirement to hold a .nz domain name.

I support suspension of a domain name on advice by a trusted notifier.

I support enabling variable wholesale pricing to Registrars.

I support a combination of allowing registrar incentives to drive specific initiatives and designing incentive payment criteria to promote .nz policy goals.

I support InternetNZ working with registrars to establish a statement of registrant rights which the DNC monitors and registrars are accountable for by annual monitoring

I support the introduction of a ‘reserved and restricted names’ policy.

I strongly support the Registry collecting and communicating market information including customer segments, activity/utilisation and product use for industry to better understand and develop the .nz market. This openness and transparency would illustrate InternetNZ's own values and public good ethos.

I support further work to understand any opportunities for new moderated Level 2 domains. During my role long ago managing the [govt.nz](http://govt.nz/) domain, there was regular evidence that the public valued and had confidence in .[govt.nz](http://govt.nz/) names. This created a strong obligation for the Registrar to manage that domain at a very high standard and only accept soundly-argued applications. It is very relevant now to assess whether additional moderated Level 2 domains are needed.

I am aware that I have not presented my arguments for most of my conclusions. Please come back to me if you would like these.

Nga mihi

Keitha