

1. (untitled)

1. Submissions from this consultation process may be made public. Please indicate here if you would like your submission to be kept private.

I am happy for my submission to be made public

2. Which of the following best describes the perspective your submission represents?

Member

4.

3. Is this a group or individual submission

Individual

5. (untitled)

4. Individual

Name : Peter Lane

Organisation : System Design & Communication Services

11. (untitled)

5. If you would like to be kept informed of final decisions, please provide a contact email address

12. (untitled)

6. Would you like to provide any comment on the case for change?

I agree generally that the environment has changed since inauguration and prior reviews. However, the what isn't discussed in the paper is InternetNZ's role as a community project charitable funder.

Many non-profits I work with have undergone / are considering a functional split - the opposite of the InternetNZ proposal - to separate clearly service delivery and income generation activities. The rationale is usually to assist the service delivery portion of the organisation be more successful in seeking 3rd party funding.

13. (untitled)

7. What do you think are the strengths of the proposal to merge the three organisations into one, governed by one Council?

Simplified governance and management;

8. What do you think are the limitations of the proposal to merge the three organisations into one, governed by one Council?

limited independence, esp. between policy setting and execution, and between dispute resolution and policy and execution

no acknowledgement of charity provider function and needs of that role

14. (untitled)

9. Do you have any suggestions on how to address these limitations?

I think it would be best to retain a legal separation between the societies charitable activities and it's other activities, even if the Domain management and Registry are reincorporated.

15. (untitled)

10. Do you have any comments on the proposed approach to protecting the independence of .nz policy and regulation?

It's better than nothing, but I still think it will raise eyebrows in some quarters

19. (untitled)

11. Do you have any other comments you would like to make?