Submission template

How to have your say

Make a submission

This can take the form of a written submission on the questions raised in this document. Submissions on the questions are due by 2 April 2021.

This document contains a number of questions. You may wish to respond to one, many, or all of them.

InternetNZ is interested in any views you have. If you are able to support your views with evidence, we are keen to see this too. This might include facts, figures, research, or examples.

For the purposes of your submission on this paper, you should include your name (or your organisation's name) and your contact details.

You may use this submission template to support your submission.

You can make your submission by:

- Email to dotnzreview@internetnz.net.nz
- Post to PO Box 11-881, Manners Street, Wellington 6142, New Zealand

Use of information

The information provided in submissions will be used to inform InternetNZ's decisions on how the .nz policies should be changed. InternetNZ may contact you directly to clarify anything in your submission.

The Privacy Act 2020 establishes certain principles with respect to our collection, use and disclosure of information about individuals. Any personal information you supply to InternetNZ in the course of making a submission will only be used by InternetNZ in managing incoming submissions.

InternetNZ has an open policy-making process and typically publishes all submissions to encourage open conversation. Contact details will not be published. If you need to include confidential information in your submission, to discuss what arrangements InternetNZ might implement if we were to agree to receive the confidential information, please contact dotnzreview@internetnz.net.nz.

Permission to reproduce

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Draft .nz Rules

Questions

1. Do you favour consolidating and rewriting the .nz policies generally speaking? Why / why not?
2. Could the draft .nz Rules be improved? How?
3. Do you think the difference between policies and operational rules is clear enough? If not, how could this be improved?
4. Do the draft .nz Rules leave out anything currently in the existing .nz policy documents that should be retained?

Proposed Implementation of Other Panel Recommendations

5. Do you consider the above principles should be adopted as the new principles for the .nz Rules? Why / why not?
6. Do you agree that 'safe' should be removed from the first principle? Why / why not?
7. Do you think any of the principles should be modified in any other way?

Panel recommendations on existing principles

8. Do you think we should implement the Panel's recommendations on the existing principles as proposed above? Why / why not?
9. Have we correctly assessed the implications of our proposed approach to the existing principles? Are there other implications we have not considered?
10. Is there a better way of doing this?

Panel recommendations on the policy development process

11. Do you think InternetNZ should have greater flexibility to adjust .nz procedures & requirements by notice in writing?
12. Is [20] working days enough notice before a change to the procedures & requirements is made?
13. Are there any .nz procedures & requirements you think should be a .nz policy or .nz rule? Are there any .nz policies or .nz rules you think should be a .nz procedure?

Panel recommendations on the exceptional circumstances provision

14. Do you think we should implement the Panel's recommendations on the exceptional circumstances provision as proposed above? Why / why not?

15. Have we correctly assessed the implications of our proposed changes to the exceptional circumstances provision? Are there other implications we have not considered?
16. Is there a better way of doing this?
17. Should the Commissioner be able to take any of the listed steps (change the Registrar, suspend, assign, cancel and lock) in the circumstances described in paragraphs a, b and c or or just some? If not, which steps should apply to circumstances?

Panel recommendations on privacy

18. Do you think we should implement the Panel's recommendations on privacy as proposed above? Why / why not?
19. Have we correctly assessed the implications of our proposed changes to the privacy option? Are there other implications we have not considered?
20. Is there a better way of doing this?

Panel recommendations on pricing variability and incentives

21. Do you think we should implement the Panel's recommendations as proposed above? Why / why not?
22. Have we correctly assessed the implications of clarifying that we can offer enhanced features, add-ons and incentives? Are there other implications we have not considered?
23. Is there a better way of doing this?
24. How could we implement this in a way that will maximise the benefits for all registrars?

Registry Replacement Project Issues

25. Have we correctly assessed the implications of moving to yearly registrations and renewals? Are there other implications we have not considered?
26. Is there a better way of doing this?

Standardising the terminology

27. Have we correctly assessed the implications of aligning our terminology to reflect standard usage? Are there other implications we have not considered?
28. Is there a better way of doing this?